

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO. FILING DATE		FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/483,737 01/14/2000		01/14/2000	Hansjorg Reichert	GR-97-P-1903	8769	
24131	7590	01/12/2005		EXAMINER		
LERNER	AND G	REENBERG, PA	SEFER, A	SEFER, AHMED N		
P O BOX 2 HOLLYW		L 33022-2480	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
,				2826		
				DATE MAILED: 01/12/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applicati	on No.	Applicant(s)					
		09/483,73	37	REICHERT ET AL.					
Offi	ce Action Summary	Examine		Art Unit	 -				
		A. Sefer		2826					
The M	AILING DATE of this communicat	ion appears on the	e cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	dress –				
THE MAILING - Extensions of tin after SIX (6) MO - If the period for r - If NO period for r - Failure to reply w Any reply receive	ED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR B DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION of the may be available under the provisions of 37 NTHS from the mailing date of this communicated ply specified above is less than thirty (30) date reply is specified above, the maximum statutor within the set or extended period for reply will, led by the Office later than three months after the madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. 'CFR 1.136(a). In no evation. ys, a reply within the staty period will apply and working statute, cause the app	ent, however, may a reply be tim utory minimum of thirty (30) days ill expire SIX (6) MONTHS from lication to become ABANDONEI	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this co O (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status									
2a)⊠ This ac 3)⊡ Since th	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 October 2004</u> . This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.								
Disposition of C	laims				e e				
4a) Of th 5)	 ✓ Claim(s) 1,9,10 and 15 is/are pending in the application. ✓ 4a) Of the above claim(s) 1,9 and 10 is/are withdrawn from consideration. ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. ✓ Claim(s) 15 is/are rejected. ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. 								
Application Pape	ers								
10) The draw Applican Replace	cification is objected to by the Exwing(s) filed on is/are: a)[It may not request that any objection ment drawing sheet(s) including the or declaration is objected to by	accepted or b) to the drawing(s) b correction is require	ne held in abeyance. See ed if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CF	• •				
Priority under 35	U.S.C. § 119								
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.									
	ences Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Summary (
	person's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9 closure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO il Date <u>7/2004</u> .		Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:	te atent Application (PTO	-152)				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Amendment

1. The amendment filed 10/29/04 has been entered. Claims 2-8 have been cancelled; no new claims have been introduced.

Claim Objections

- 2. Claim 15 is objected to because of the following informalities: The recitation "using said solder to form a chip-substrate connection by said solder" appears to be redundant. Appropriate correction is required.
- 3. Claim 15 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Yamagishi ("Yamagishi") (JP 6-291239) (of record) in view of Ishii (JP 6-326210) (of record), Bacon Bacon et al. ("Bacon") USPN 5,234,153.

Yamagishi discloses in fig. 1c a solder containing at least two components with at least two constituents including a first constituent containing a precious metal and a second constituent being consumed during a soldering operation by one of reacting and being dissolved in material which are to be joined; a substrate 1; and a semiconductor chip 4 secured to said substrate by one of alloying and brazing using said solder, but do not teach a hypereutectic composition of Au-Sn with a thickness.

Ishii discloses (see fig. 2 and attached machine translated version) a semiconductor chip 1 having a rear side and an a diffusion barrier 7a provided on said rear side; said semiconductor chip being secured at said rear side to a substrate 40 by a solder containing gold and tin solder 8 and said solder has a hypereutectic concentration containing gold-tin (AuSn) with a

Application/Control Number: 09/483,737

Art Unit: 2826

hypereutectic Sn concentration and containing a gold-tin compound (AuSn) having a composition which falls within the range recited in the claim to form a chip-substrate connection by said solder.

Bacon teaches (see col. 1 lines 50-63 and claim 7) the advantage of using a thin gold-tin compound solder.

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to replace Yamagishi's Au-Sn eutectic alloy of with Ishii's hypereutectic Sn concentration, since that would prevent deformation thereby enhancing the mechanical strength of a semiconductor chip connection to a substrate. It would have been obvious to form a layer with a thickness of 1 μ m to 2 μ m, since that would provide a better thermal conductance as taught by Bacon.

Response to Arguments

4. Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 15 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period

Art Unit: 2826

will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to A. Sefer whose telephone number is (571) 272-1921.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's NATHAN J. FLYNN SUPERVISORY PAPENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOgy CENTER 2800

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

ANS January 9, 2005